

JAN 20 8 44 AM '08

8 January 2008

(b)(6)

(b)(3) CIAAct
(b)(6)

Reference: *Human Sources* manuscript first sent to PRB in April 2007/PRB letter of 7 December 2007

Dear Publications Review Board,

Regarding the *Human Sources* manuscript, I repeat my request for Publications Review Board (PRB) review of the manuscript. I intend to publish this manuscript, and if the PRB can identify any classified information in the manuscript then I will take it out.

I've carefully studied the manuscript to make sure that it contains no classified or secret information and to make sure it reveals no sources or methods. The PRB seems unwilling to review the manuscript for classified information, instead preferring to state that all of it is disapproved. I believe the PRB recognizes that the manuscript contains no classified information and seeks to block the manuscript solely because the manuscript is highly critical of the organization.

In April 2007 I sent a copy of the manuscript to the PRB. In May 2007 the PRB replied that no portions were approved.

(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(5)

Therefore, in July the PRB suggested

(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(5)

In November 2007 the PRB determined

(b)(3) NatSecAct
(b)(5)

However, in a letter I received on 31 December 2007 (dated 7 December 2007) the PRB disapproved all of the manuscript but a few random paragraphs, and sent me a stack of blank pages.

My requests for PRB review have been repeated sincerely and in good faith.

I've read all of the books published by former employees and approved by the PRB.

Some of them reveal a startling amount of classified information, especially the recent [redacted] These books criticize the President, however, and not [redacted] (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(5) organization. While the PRB's mission is ostensibly to censor classified information, it cannot avoid the conclusion that the PRB's true mission is to censor information critical of the organization.

My manuscript is highly critical of the organization. The organization's corruption and willful mismanagement are extraordinary and place Americans at great risk. Important intelligence programs designed to protect Americans simply do not exist. The purpose of my manuscript is to add to the criticism and debate about reform of the organization. Criticism and debate is how we solve things in America. It is my duty as an American to publish this manuscript.

[redacted] (b)(3) NatSecAct (b)(5)

Before deciding to write this book, I exhausted all other avenues. I aggressively confronted all levels of my chain of command without result. I met with the Inspector General's office, but found it a broken system - the IG was not up to the task and was under investigation by the FBI for leaks. The Director, Porter Goss, supported me, but he was removed and replaced by managers who represent the status quo. No anti-corruption or whistleblower systems exist in the organization. Only open, public debate will lead to reform. I will not profit from this book, and have given up a pension in order to write it.

Members of the PRB live and work in the Washington DC area, a prime target for terrorist and WMD attack. They are not protected by important intelligence programs because these programs do not exist. Funds being spent to protect Americans, including PRB members and their families, are being stolen or wasted on phony or nonexistent intelligence programs. By censoring this manuscript, the PRB puts Americans at risk.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted] (b)(3) CIAAct (b)(6)